Assessment on teaching/learning methods

By

III Year MBBS Students, 2023-24 Batch (Group A)

Pondicherry Institute of Medical Sciences

October 2026

Contents

Introduction 4
Results 4
Teaching Learning 4
I. Quality of teaching 4
1. Arousal of interest 4
2. Clarity of communication 5
3. Adequate interaction 5
4. Approachability 6
II. Punctuality 6
1. Starting and ending on time 6
III. Visual aids 7
1. Appropriate use of visual aids 7
2. Clarity of visual aids 7
3. Pictorial representation 8
IV. Practical training 8
1. Opportunity for hands on training 8
2. Small group discussion 9
3. Clinical relevance 9
V. Clinical training 10
1. Number of cases 10
2. Variety of cases 10
3. Adequate training on history taking and examination 11
4. Adequate utilisation of the posting time 11
5. Small group discussion 12
VI. Integrated teaching session 12
1. Well structured and concepts were explained clearly 12
2. Effectively integrated with relevant concepts and AV aids 13
3. Helped to understand the topic 13
ASSESSMENT – Internal assessment/ Formative assessment 14

I. Coverage of syllabus 14
1. Full portions covered.....14
2. University pattern followed 14
3. Analytical skills tested.....15
II. Conduct of exam 15
1. Seating 15
2. Adequate supervision 16
III. Valuation 16
1. Fair valuation 16
2. Discussion of the answers 17
3. Feedback after valuation.....17
IV. End of posting assessment 18
1. OSCE.....18
2. Assessment of clinical skills 18
3. Assessment of cases discussed 19
4. Feedback after the assessment 19
Appendix .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Introduction

This report summarises the assessment by III year UG medical students on teaching/ learning and assessment methods of Community medicine and Forensic medicine department. A five point Likert scale (very bad, bad, satisfactory, good, excellent) was used to rate various aspects of teaching/learning methods of these departments. The responses were obtained from 117 students through Google form. The respondents were divided in to three groups based on their Internal Assessment (IA). This report is based on 24 students whose IA was less than 35% in community medicine and based on 22 students whose IA was less than 35% in Forensic medicine. Comments and suggestions provided by them were given in Appendix. Responses were analysed and presented as bar charts.

Results

Teaching Learning

I. Quality of teaching

1. Arousal of interest




Figure 1. Distribution of responses by department on Arousal of interest by the faculties

2. Clarity of communication




Figure 2. Distribution of responses by department on Clarity of communication by faculties

3. Adequate interaction




Figure 3. Distribution of responses by department on Adequate interaction by faculties

4. Approachability




Figure 4. Distribution of responses by department on Approachability of faculties

II. Punctuality

1. Starting and ending on time




Figure 5. Distribution of responses by department on Starting and ending on time (punctuality)

III. Visual aids

1. Appropriate use of visual aids




Figure 6. Distribution of responses by department on Appropriate use of visual aids

2. Clarity of visual aids




Figure 7. Distribution of responses by department on Clarity of visual aids

3. Pictorial representation




Figure 8. Distribution of responses by department on Pictorial representation of visual aids

IV. Practical training

1. Opportunity for hands on training



Figure 9. Distribution of responses by department on Opportunity for hands on training

2. Small group discussion




Figure 10. Distribution of responses by department on Small group discussion

3. Clinical relevance




Figure 11. Distribution of responses by department on Clinical relevance

V. Clinical training

1. Number of cases




Figure 12. Distribution of responses by department on Number of cases seen during clinical training

2. Variety of cases




Figure 13. Distribution of responses by department on Variety of cases seen during clinical training

3. Adequate training on history taking and examination




Figure 14. Distribution of responses on history taking and examination

4. Adequate utilisation of the posting time



Figure 15. Distribution of responses on utilisation of the posting time

5. Small group discussion



Figure 16. Distribution of responses on Small group discussion

VI. Integrated teaching session

1. Well structured and concepts were explained clearly



Figure 17. Distribution of responses on Well structured and concepts clearly explained teaching session

2. Effectively integrated with relevant concepts and AV aids




Figure 18. Distribution of responses on Effectively integrated with relevant concepts and AV aids

3. Helped to understand the topic




Figure 19. Distribution of responses on Helping to understand the topic

ASSESSMENT – Internal assessment/ Formative assessment

I. Coverage of syllabus

1. Full portions covered




Figure 20. Distribution of responses by department on Full portions covered

2. University pattern followed



Figure 21. Distribution of responses by department on University pattern followed in the coverage of syllabus

3. Analytical skills tested



Figure 22. Distribution of responses by department on Analytical skills tested in the coverage of syllabus

II. Conduct of exam

1. Seating



Figure 23. Distribution of responses by department on Seating in conduct of exam

2. Adequate supervision




Figure 24. Distribution of responses by department on Adequate supervision in conduct of exam

III. Valuation

1. Fair valuation



Figure 25. Distribution of responses by department on Fair Valuation in valuation

2. Discussion of the answers




Figure 26. Distribution of responses by department on Discussion of the answers

3. Feedback after valuation




Figure27. Distribution of responses by department on Feedback after valuation

IV. End of posting assessment

1. OSCE



Figure 28. Distribution of responses on OSCE at the end of posting assessment

2. Assessment of clinical skills




Figure 29. Distribution of responses on Assessment of clinical skills

3. Assessment of cases discussed



Figure 30. Distribution of responses on Assessment of cases discussed

4. Feedback after the assessment




Figure 31. Distribution of responses on Feedback after the assessment